Classification of $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded modules of the intermediate series over a Lie algebra of Block type [1]

Xiaoqing Yue[†], Yucai Su[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

E-mail: yuexqtj@21cn.com, ycsu@ustc.edu.cn

arXiv:math/0611942v2 [math.QA] 11 May 2007

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie algebra of Block type over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero, defined with basis $\{L_{i,j} \mid i,j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and relations $[L_{i,j}, L_{k,l}] = ((j+1)k-(l+1)i)L_{i+k,j+l}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$-graded. In this paper, $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathcal{L}$-modules of the intermediate series are classified.

Key words: modules of the intermediate series, Lie algebra of Block type, Virasoro algebra

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 17B10, 17B65, 17B68.

§1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the *Lie algebra of Block type* defined over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero, with basis $\{L_{i,j} \mid i,j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and relations

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,l}] = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)L_{i+k,j+l} \quad \text{for} \quad i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.1}$$

This Lie algebra is closely related to the Virasoro algebra, Virasoro-like algebra. It is also a special case of Cartan type S Lie algebra or Cartan type H Lie algebra. Partially due to these facts, the study of this Lie algebra or its analogs has recently attracted some authors' attentions (see e.g., [DZ, LT, S1, S2, WS, X1, X2, ZZ, ZM]). It is well known that although Cartan type Lie algebras have a long history, their representation theory is however far from being well developed.

In order to better understand the representation theory of Cartan type Lie algebras, it is very natural to first study representations of special cases of Cartan type Lie algebras. The author in [S1, S2] presented a classification of the so-called *quasifinite modules* (which are simply $\mathbb{Z}$-graded modules with finite dimensional homogenous subspaces) over some Block type Lie algebras. In particular, it is shown that quasifinite modules with dimensions of homogenous subspaces being uniformly bounded are all trivial—this is due to the crucial fact that all $\mathbb{Z}$-graded homogenous subspaces of these Block type Lie algebras are themselves infinite dimensional. On the other hand, the authors in [WS] considered Verma type modules of the above Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$. However it turns out that these Verma type modules, regarded as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded modules, are all with infinite dimensional homogenous subspaces (except the subspace spanned by the generator which has dimension 1). The author in [Z] gave a classification of weight modules with 1-dimensional weight spaces over (generalized) Witt algebras (the first family of Cartan type Lie algebras). Since our focus in the present paper

[1]Supported by NSF grant no. 10471091 of China, the grant "One Hundred Talents Program" from University of Science and Technology of China.

is the Block type Lie algebras, in above we only listed very few results on representations of Cartan type Lie algebras (and of course there are many other results that we did not mention), but one may have already realized that representations of Cartan type Lie algebras are not as easy as one might expect.

The aim in the present paper is to give a classification of $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathcal{L}$-modules with 1-dimensional homogenous subspaces (which are usually called *modules of the intermediate series*). Our next goal is to give a classification of all $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathcal{L}$-modules with finite dimensional homogenous subspaces in some due time. The main techniques used in this paper are those developed in [S3, S4] for representations of higher rank Virasoro algebras. It seems to us that the techniques developed in [S3, S4] are useful in dealing with problems of representations of Lie algebras related to the Virasoro algebra.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose $V = \oplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_{i,j}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{L}$-module of the intermediate series (i.e., $\dim V_{ij} \leq 1$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$), then V is one of $A_{a,b}$, A, B, C or one of their quotient modules, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, where $A_{a,b}$, A, B, C all have basis $\{v_{k,l} \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, such that*

$$A_{a,b} : L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)(a+k) + (b-1-l)i)v_{i+k,j+l} \ ; \tag{1.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A: \quad & L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} + \delta_{i+k,0}\delta_{l+j,-2}iv_{0,-2} \ \ \textit{for} \ \ (k,l) \neq (0,-2), \\ & L_{i,j}v_{0,-2} = 0 \ ; \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B: \quad & L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} \\ & \qquad\qquad \textit{for} \ \ (k,l) \neq (0,-1),(0,-2) \ \ \textit{and} \ \ (i+k,j+l) \neq (0,-1), \\ & L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-1} = 0 \ , \qquad L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = iv_{i,j-1} \ , \qquad L_{i,j}v_{0,-2} = iv_{i,j-2} \ ; \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C: \quad & L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} + \delta_{i+k,0}\delta_{l+j,-2}iv_{0,-2} \\ & \qquad\qquad \textit{for} \ \ (k,l) \neq (0,-1),(0,-2) \ \ \textit{and} \ \ (i+k,j+l) \neq (0,-1), \\ & L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-1} = 0 \ , \qquad L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = iv_{i,j-1} \ , \qquad L_{i,j}v_{0,-2} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

The above results show that, unlike the case for the Virasoro algebra, for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, somehow it is strange that the module $A_{a,b}$ only has three isolated deformations A, B, C without a parameter (cf. (2.2)–(2.4)).

To describe the structure of modules of the intermediate series, we introduce the following notation: For a module V and two subsets V_1, V_2, if V_2 can be generated by V_1, namely, $V_2 \subset U(\mathcal{L})V_1$ (where $U(\mathcal{L})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}$), then we denote $V_1 \to V_2$ or $V_2 \leftarrow V_1$. Then the following result can be easily verified.

Theorem 1.2 (i) *$A_{a,b}$ is irreducible if and only if $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \notin \mathbb{Z}$.*

(ii) *If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $A_{a,b}$ has 3 composition factors with the following structure,*

$$V_2 \to V_3 \to V_1, \tag{1.6}$$

where $V_1 = \mathbb{F}v_{-a,b-1}$, $V_2 = \mathbb{F}v_{-a,b-2}$, $V_3 = \text{span}\{v_{k,l} \,|\, (k,l) \neq (-a,b-1), (-a,b-2)\}$.

(iii) *All of A, B, C have three composition factors with the following structures,*

$$A: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \nearrow & V_1 \\ V_3 & & \\ & \searrow & V_2 \end{array}, \qquad B: \begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & & \\ & \searrow & \\ V_2 & \nearrow & V_3 \end{array}, \qquad C: \quad V_1 \to V_3 \to V_2\ , \tag{1.7}$$

where $V_1 = \mathbb{F}v_{0,-1}$, $V_2 = \mathbb{F}v_{0,-2}$, $V_3 = \text{span}\{v_{k,l} \,|\, (k,l) \neq (0,-1), (0,-2)\}$,

§2. Some technical lemmas

It is well-known that the Virasoro algebra Vir is the Lie algebra with basis $\{L_i, c \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying the relations

$$[L_i, L_j] = (j-i)L_{i+j} + \frac{i^3 - i}{12}\delta_{i,-j}c, \quad [L_i, c] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.1}$$

A Vir-module of the intermediate series must be one of $A_{a,b}, A(a), B(a), a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, or one of the quotient submodules, where $A_{a,b}, A(a), B(a)$ all have a basis $\{x_k \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that c acts trivially and

$$A_{a,b} : L_i x_k = (a + k + bi)x_{i+k}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$A(a) : L_i x_k = (i+k)x_{i+k} \ \ (k \neq 0), \qquad L_i x_0 = i(i+a)x_i, \tag{2.3}$$

$$B(a) : L_i x_k = k x_{i+k} \ \ (k \neq -i), \qquad L_i x_{-i} = -i(i+a)x_0, \tag{2.4}$$

for $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$A_{a,b} \text{ is simple} \iff a \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0, 1 \text{ and } A_{a,1} \cong A_{a,0} \text{ if } a \notin \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.5}$$

Now consider the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ in (1.1). Denote

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{span}\{L_{i,0} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \text{span}\{L_{i,i} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

From (1.1), we have

$$[L_{i,0}, L_{j,0}] = (j-i)L_{i+j,0} \quad \text{and} \quad [L_{i,i}, L_{j,j}] = (j-i)L_{i+j,i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.6}$$

This shows that both $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_1$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}$ isomorphic to the centerless Virasoro algebra (cf. (2.1)). For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote

$$V_j = \underset{i\in\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} \mathbb{F}v_{i,j}.$$

Then V_j is an $\mathcal{L}_0$-module for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First we assume that all V_j is an $\mathcal{L}_0$-module of type $A_{a,b}$ (later on, we shall determine all possible deformations, cf. (3.24)) . Thus we can assume

$$L_{i,0}v_{k,j} = (a_j + k + b_j i)v_{i+k,j} \quad \text{for} \quad i,k,j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and some } a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $L_{0,0}v_{k,j} = (a_j+k)v_{k,j}$ and $L_{i',j'}v_{k,j} \subset V_{j+j'}$ for any $i', j', k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, applying $[L_{0,0}, L_{i',j'}] = i'L_{i',j'}$ to $v_{k,j}$, we can deduce that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_j = a$ is a constant. Thus (2.7) becomes

$$L_{i,0}v_{k,j} = (a + k + b_j i)v_{i+k,j} \quad \text{for} \quad i,k,j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.8}$$

Analogously, we denote $\tilde{V}_1 = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}v_{i,i}$. Then it is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-module. Again, we first suppose $\tilde{V}_1$ is an $\mathcal{L}_1$-module of type $A_{a,c}$. By rescaling basis $v_{i,i}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (this rescaling does not affect (2.8) since for each given j, we can rescaling $v_{k,j}$ for all k accordingly), we can suppose

$$L_{i,i}v_{j,j} = (a + j + ci)v_{i+j,i+j} \quad \text{for} \quad i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and some } c \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $V = \oplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}v_{i,j}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-module of the intermediate series, we can suppose

$$L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = a^{i,j}_{k,l}v_{i+k,j+l} \quad \text{for} \quad i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and some} \quad a^{i,j}_{k,l} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{2.10}$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$a^{i,j}_{k,l} = (j+1)(a+k) + (b_0 - l)i \quad \text{for} \quad i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.11}$$

So we start with the case when V_j has a basis satisfying (2.8) for all j and $\tilde{V}_1$ satisfying (2.10).

Lemma 2.3 $b_s = b_0 - s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Fix $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $i,j,k \in \mathbb{Z}$, using formulas

$$[L_{i,0}, [L_{j,0}, L_{k,s}]] = (j + k - (s+1)i)(k - (s+1)j)L_{i+j+k,s}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$[L_{i+j,0}, L_{k,s}] = (k - (i+j)(s+1))L_{i+j+k,s}, \tag{2.13}$$

we obtain

$$(k-(i+j)(s+1))(L_{i,0}L_{j,0}L_{k,s}-L_{i,0}L_{k,s}L_{j,0}-L_{j,0}L_{k,s}L_{i,0}+L_{k,s}L_{j,0}L_{i,0})$$
$$-(j+k-(s+1)i)(k-(s+1)j)(L_{i+j,0}L_{k,s}-L_{k,s}L_{i+j,0})=0. \tag{2.14}$$

Now applying (2.14) to

$$v_{p,0}, \tag{2.15}$$

by (2.8) and (2.10), and by comparing the coefficients of $v_{i+j+k+p,s}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&((i+j)(s+1)-k)(a+p+jb_0)(a+j+k+p+ib_s)a^{k,s}_{j+p,0}\\&\quad+((k-(i+j)(s+1))(a+p+k+jb_s)(a+p+k+j+ib_s)\\&\quad-(j+k-(s+1)i)(k-j(s+1))(a+p+k+b_s(i+j))a^{k,s}_{p,0}\\&\quad+((k-(i+j)(s+1))(a+p+ib_0)(a+p+i+jb_0)\\&\quad+(j+k-(s+1)i)(k-j(s+1))(a+p+b_0(i+j))a^{k,s}_{p+i+j,0}\\&\quad+((i+j)(s+1)-k)(a+p+ib_0)(a+i+k+p+jb_s)a^{k,s}_{i+p,0}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Now in (2.16), by doing the following:

(1) replacing j by i, and p by $p-i$;

(2) replacing j by $-i$;

(3) replacing both i and j by $-i$, and p by $p+i$,

we obtain the following three equations concerning $a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}$, $a^{k,s}_{p,0}$, $a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}$ correspondingly:

$$\begin{aligned}&((k-2i(s+1))(a+p+k+i(b_s-1))(a+k+p+ib_s)\\&\quad-(k-is)(k-(s+1)i)(a+p+k+i(2b_s-1)))a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}\\&\quad+2(2i(s+1)-k)(a+p+(b_0-1)i)(a+p+k+b_si)a^{k,s}_{p,0}\\&\quad+((k-2i(s+1))(a+p+ib_0)(a+p+i(b_0-1))\\&\quad+(k-(s+1)i)(k-is)(a+p+(2b_0-1)i))a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-k(a+p-b_0i)(a+p+k+i(b_s-1))a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}+k((a+p+k-b_si)\times\\
&\qquad\times(a+p+k+i(b_s-1))+(a+p+b_0i)(a+p-(b_0-1)i)\\
&\qquad-(k-i(s+2))(k+i(s+1)))a^{k,s}_{p,0}-k(a+p+b_0i)\times\\
&\qquad\times(a+p+k-i(b_s-1))a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0, \qquad (2.18)\\
&((k+2i(s+1))(a+p-(b_0-1)i)(a+p-ib_0)\\
&\qquad+(k+is)(k+(s+1)i)(a+p-i(2b_0-1)))a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}\\
&\qquad-2(2i(s+1)+k)(a+p-(b_0-1)i)(a+p+k-b_si)a^{k,s}_{p,0}\\
&\qquad+((k+2i(s+1))(a+p+k-i(b_s-1))(a+p+k-ib_s)\\
&\qquad-(k+(s+1)i)(k+is)(a+p+k-(2b_s-1)i)a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0. \qquad (2.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the matrix of the coefficients of $a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}$, $a^{k,s}_{p,0}$, $a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}$ in (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) by $A=(c_{ij})_{3\times3}$ and let $\Delta=\det A$. Observe that if we denote c_{31} by $f_1(i)$, c_{32} by $f_2(i)$, c_{33} by $g_2(i)$ and c_{23} by $g_1(i)$ (all regarding as polynomials on i), then (2.17)–(2.19) become

$$g_2(-i)a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}+f_2(-i)a^{k,s}_{p,0}+f_1(-i)a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0, \qquad (2.20)$$

$$g_1(-i)a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}+c_{22}a^{k,s}_{p,0}+g_1(i)a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0, \qquad (2.21)$$

$$f_1(i)a^{k,s}_{p-i,0}+f_2(i)a^{k,s}_{p,0}+g_2(i)a^{k,s}_{p+i,0}=0. \qquad (2.22)$$

Note from definition (2.10) that for a fixed s, one can easily prove $a^{k,s}_{p,0}\neq0$ for almost all $k,p\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\Delta=0$ (for almost all i,k,p, thus for all i,k,p since Δ is a polynomial on i,k,p). By a little lengthy calculation (or simply using Mathematica to compute), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta=i^6k(s-b_0+b_s)(1+s-b_0+b_s)(\Delta_0+\Delta_1k+\Delta_2k^2), \qquad (2.23)\\
&\Delta_0=4i^2(1+s)^2(-1+b_0+b_s)(2b_0+3sb_0+s^2b_0+b_0^2-b_0^3+2b_s-sb_s\\
&\qquad-s^2b_s-2b_0b_s-2sb_0b_s-b_0^2b_s-3b_s^2+b_0b_s^2+b_s^3),\\
&\Delta_1=2(a+p)(1+s)(2+s)(-2+s+s^2+7b_0+2sb_0-3b_0^2+5b_s-2sb_s-6b_0b_s-3b_s^2),\\
&\Delta_2=-4+24b_0-19b_0^2+2b_0^3+b_0^4+16b_s-34b_0b_s+8b_0^2b_s+2b_0^3b_s-19b_s^2\\
&\qquad+14b_0b_s^2+8b_s^3-2b_0b_s^3-b_s^4+2(-2+18b_0-11b_0^2+b_0^3+4b_s-15b_0b_s\\
&\qquad+3b_0^2b_s-4b_s^2+3b_0b_s^2+b_s^3)s+(3+16b_0-6b_0^2-4b_s-6b_0b_s)s^2+2(2+b_0-b_s)s^3+s^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$(s - b_0 + b_s)(1 + s - b_0 + b_s) = 0, \quad \text{or} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\Delta_0 = \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

Note that if we replace $v_{p,0}$ (in (2.15)) and s by $v_{p,s}$ and $-s$ respectively (and accordingly, replace $a_{p,0}^{k,s}$ by $a_{p,s}^{k,-s}$), this amounts to change the data (b_0, b_s, s) to $(b_s, b_0, -s)$ in (2.23). Thus we have

$$(-s - b_s + b_0)(1 - s - b_s + b_0) = 0, \quad \text{or} \tag{2.26}$$

$$\Delta_0' = \Delta_1' = \Delta_2' = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

where Δ_i' is obtained from Δ_i by changing the data (b_0, b_s, s) to $(b_s, b_0, -s)$. We want to prove $b_s = b_0 - s$. Suppose this is not the case. Then we have only three possible cases from (2.24)–(2.27):

(i) $b_s = b_0 - 1 - s$ and $\Delta_0' = \Delta_1' = \Delta_2' = 0$;

(ii) $\Delta_0 = \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ and $b_s = b_0 + 1 - s$;

(iii) $\Delta_i = \Delta_i' = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

It is a little lengthy but straightforward to verify that these three cases are impossible. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Using (2.8), (2.9) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$a_{j,j}^{i,i} = a + j + ci, \quad a_{k,l}^{i,0} = a + k + (b_0 - l)i \quad \text{for} \quad i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.28}$$

Lemma 2.4 $((b_0 - q)k + (s + 1)(a + p))a_{p+i,q}^{k,s} = ((b_0 - q)k + (s + 1)(a + p + i))a_{p,q}^{k,s}$ for $i, k, s, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Applying (2.14) to $v_{p,q}$, by (2.8) and (2.10), comparing the coefficients of $v_{i+j+k+p,s+q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&((i+j)(s+1)-k)(a+p+j(b_0-q))(a+j+k+p+i(b_0-q-s))a_{j+p,q}^{k,s}\\
&\quad+((k-(i+j)(s+1))(a+p+k+j(b_0-q-s))(a+p+k+j+i(b_0-q-s))\\
&\quad-(j+k-(s+1)i)(k-j(s+1))(a+p+k+(b_0-q-s)(i+j))a_{p,q}^{k,s}\\
&\quad+((k-(i+j)(s+1))(a+p+i(b_0-q))(a+p+i+j(b_0-q))\\
&\quad+(j+k-(s+1)i)(k-j(s+1))(a+p+(b_0-q)(i+j))a_{p+i+j,q}^{k,s}\\
&\quad+((i+j)(s+1)-k)(a+p+i(b_0-q))(a+i+k+p+j(b_0-q-s))a_{i+p,q}^{k,s}=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The same arguments after (2.16) can give three equations concerning $a^{k,s}_{p-i,q}$, $a^{k,s}_{p,q}$, $a^{k,s}_{p+i,q}$. Solving them gives

$$((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+p))a^{k,s}_{p+i,q}=((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+p+i))a^{k,s}_{p,q}, \tag{2.30}$$

for $k,s,i,p,q\in\mathbb{Z}$. Now the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.5 $c=a+b_0$.

Proof. For $i,j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, applying $[L_{i,i},L_{j,j}]=(j-i)L_{i+j,i+j}$ to $v_{k,l}$, by (2.9) and (2.10), comparing the coefficients of $v_{i+j+k,i+j+l}$, we obtain

$$a^{j,j}_{k,l}a^{i,i}_{j+k,j+l}-a^{i,i}_{k,l}a^{j,j}_{i+k,i+l}-(j-i)a^{i+j,i+j}_{k,l}=0. \tag{2.31}$$

Changing data (s,p,i) in (2.30) to $(k,q,i-q)$ gives

$$(q+b_0k+(k+1)a)a^{k,k}_{i,q}=((b_0-q)k+(k+1)(a+i))(a+q+ck). \tag{2.32}$$

Then (2.31) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}&(a+b_0-c)ij(j-i)(k-l)((a+b_0)c(i+j)+(a+l)(a+b_0+c-1))A=0, \qquad (2.33)\\ &A=(a+b_0)(l+j)(a+b_0+k-l)i^2+(2a^2+b_0j+b_0^2j+b_0^2j^2+b_0k+2b_0jk\\ &\quad+b_0j^2k+b_0l-jl-b_0j^2l+kl+jkl-l^2-jl^2+2ab_0+3a^2j+4abj\\ &\quad+a^2j^2+2abj^2+2ak+3ajk+aj^2k-ajl-aj^2l)i\\ &\quad+(a+aj+b_0j+l)(a+aj+b_0j+k+jk-jl).\end{aligned}$$

Since (2.33) is a polynomial on i,j,k,l, we must have $c=a+b_0$ or $(a+b_0)c=a+b_0+c-1=0$. Thus $c=a+b_0$, or $a+b_0=0,c=1$, or $a+b_0=1,c=0$. If the first case occurs, then we have the lemma. Assume that one of the last two cases occurs. In case $a\notin\mathbb{Z}$, by (2.5), we can choose c to be 0 or 1, so that $c=a+b_0$. If $a\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can rescale basis $\{v_{ii}\,|\,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ in (2.9) so that c can be chosen either one of 0 or 1 (in this case, (2.9) holds only when $j,i+j\neq 0$, but we can first assume it holds for all i,j, then consider all possible deformations (which will be done in the last part of the next section)). Thus without loss of generality, we can always suppose $c=a+b_0$. □

Therefore by equation (2.9), we obtain

$$a^{i,i}_{k,k}=a+k+(a+b_0)i\quad\text{for all } i,k\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.34}$$

Replacing $p+i$ by i and then letting $p=q$ in (2.30), we obtain

$$((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+q))a^{k,s}_{i,q}=((s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-q)k)a^{k,s}_{q,q}\ \text{ for any } k,s,i,q\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.35}$$

Lemma 2.6 For any $p, q, k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+q))(k-(s+1)(p-k))a_{q,q}^{p,s}\\&=(((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+q))(k-s(p-k))\\&\quad-(a+q+(b_0-q)(p-k))(s+1)(p-k))a_{q,q}^{k,s}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.36}$$

Proof. For any $i, k, s, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, applying $[L_{i,0}, L_{k,s}] = (k-(s+1)i)L_{i+k,s}$ to $v_{q,q}$, by (2.28) and (2.34), comparing the coefficients of $v_{i+k+q,s+q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+q))(k-si)-(a+q+(b_0-q)i)(s+1)i)a_{q,q}^{k,s}\\&=((b_0-q)k+(s+1)(a+q))(k-(s+1)i)a_{q,q}^{i+k,s}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.37}$$

Replacing $i+k$ by p in (2.37), we immediately obtain that the lemma holds. □

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we can prove the main results of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $k, s, i, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (2.28), (2.34), (2.35), and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t))(s-(s+1)(k-s))((b_0-t)k+(s+1)(a+t))a_{i,t}^{k,s}\\&=((s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k)((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t))(s-(s+1)(k-s))a_{t,t}^{k,s}\\&=((s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k)(((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t))(s-s(k-s))\\&\quad-(a+t+(b_0-t)(k-s))(s+1)(k-s))a_{t,t}^{s,s}\\&=((s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k)(s-(s+1)(k-s))\times\\&\quad\times((b_0-t)k+(s+1)(a+t))((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t)).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&((b_0-t)k+(s+1)(a+t))((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t))(s-(s+1)(k-s))\times\\&\times(a_{i,t}^{k,s}-((s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k))=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2), we obtain

$$a_{i,t}^{k,s}=(s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k,\tag{3.3}$$

if the 4-tuple (k, s, i, t) satisfies the condition

$$((b_0-t)k+(s+1)(a+t))((b_0-t)s+(s+1)(a+t))(s-(s+1)(k-s))\neq 0.\tag{3.4}$$

We want to prove (3.3) holds for all 4-tuple (k,s,i,t). By (2.28), we have

$$a_{i,t}^{k,0} = a + i + (b_0 - t)k \quad \text{for any } i,k,t \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.5}$$

So we can suppose $s \neq 0$. Applying $[L_{k',s'}, L_{k-k',s-s'}] = ((s'+1)(k-k') - (s-s'+1)k')L_{k,s}$ to $v_{i,t}$, and comparing the coefficients of $v_{k+i,s+t}$, we have

$$((s'+1)(k-k') - (s-s'+1)k')a_{i,t}^{k,s} = a_{k+i-k',t+s-s'}^{k',s'} a_{i,t}^{k-k',s-s'} - a_{i+k',t+s'}^{k-k',s-s'} a_{i,t}^{k',s'}. \tag{3.6}$$

By calculation, we know that if the following condition

$$(k,s) \neq (0,-2) \ \text{ and } t \neq b_0 \tag{3.7}$$

holds then we can choose (k',s') such that the 4-tuples

$$(k',s',k+i-k',t+s-s'),\ (k-k',s-s',i,t),\ (k-k',s-s',i+k',t+s'),\ (k',s',i,t)$$

satisfy condition (3.4) and $(s'+1)(k-k') - (s-s'+1)k' \neq 0$. Thus under condition (3.7), (3.3) follows from (3.6). That is to say, we have

$$a_{i,t}^{k,s} = (s+1)(a+i) + (b_0 - t)k \ \text{ for } k,s,i,t \in \mathbb{Z},\ (k,s) \neq (0,-2) \text{ and } t \neq b_0. \tag{3.8}$$

Now we only need to consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $(k,s) = (0,-2)$ *and* $t \neq b_0$.

For any $p,q,m,s \in \mathbb{Z}$, applying $[L_{p,q}, L_{m,s}] = ((q+1)m - (s+1)p)L_{p+m,q+s}$ to $v_{i,t}$, comparing the coefficients of $v_{p+m+i,q+s+t}$, we have

$$a_{i+m,s+t}^{p,q} a_{i,t}^{m,s} - a_{i,t}^{p,q} a_{i+p,t+q}^{m,s} = ((q+1)m - (s+1)p)a_{i,t}^{p+m,q+s}. \tag{3.9}$$

Set $(p,q,s) = (0,-2,0)$, $m \neq 0$ and $t \neq b_0$ in (3.9), by (2.28) and (3.8), (3.9) turns into the following equation

$$(a+i+(b_0-t)m)a_{i+m,t}^{0,-2} - (a+i+(b_0-t+2)m)a_{i,t}^{0,-2} = -m(-(a+i)+(b_0-t)m)). \tag{3.10}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we can easily get

$$(a+m+i)a_{i,t}^{0,-2} = (a+i)a_{m+i,t}^{0,-2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, multiplying (3.10) by $a+i$, using (3.11), we obtain

$$m(-(a+i) + (b_0 - t)m)(a_{i,t}^{0,-2} + a + i) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since by our assumption, we can choose suitable m such that $m(-(a+i)+(b_0-t)m)\neq 0$, thus $a_{i,t}^{0,-2}=-(a+i)$ for $i,t\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $t\neq b_0$. Therefore in this case we obtain

$$a_{i,t}^{k,s}=(s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k \ \text{ for } i,t\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } (k,s)=(0,-2),\ t\neq b_0. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, (3.13) together with (3.8) shows that

$$a_{i,t}^{k,s}=(s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k \ \text{ for } k,s,i,t\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } t\neq b_0. \tag{3.14}$$

Case 2: $t=b_0$.

In (3.9), we set $i+m=k$ and $t=b_0-s$, then we have

$$a_{k,b_0}^{p,q}a_{i,b_0-s}^{k-i,s}-a_{i,b_0-s}^{p,q}a_{i+p,b_0-s+q}^{k-i,s}=((q+1)(k-i)-(s+1)p)a_{i,b_0-s}^{p+k-i,q+s}. \tag{3.15}$$

We can choose $s\neq 0$ and $s\neq q$ such that $b_0-s\neq b_0$ and $b_0-s+q\neq b_0$, by (3.14) and by calculation, we have the following equation

$$(a+i+(a+k)s)(a_{k,b_0}^{p,q}-(q+1)(a+k))=0. \tag{3.16}$$

Since we can choose suitable i and s such that $a+i+(a+k)s\neq 0$, then we obtain

$$a_{k,b_0}^{p,q}=(q+1)(a+k) \ \text{ for any } p,q,k\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.17}$$

This together with (3.14) shows that

$$a_{i,t}^{k,s}=(s+1)(a+i)+(b_0-t)k \ \text{ for any } k,s,i,t\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.18}$$

In a word, for any $i,j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have $L_{i,j}v_{k,l}=((j+1)(a+k)+(b_0-l)i)v_{i+k,j+l}$. Denote b_0 by $b-1$, then we obtain that (1.2) in Theorem 1.1 holds.

Now in the following, we discuss the irreducibility of $A_{a,b}$. Suppose V' is a submodule of $A_{a,b}$ and $0\neq v=\Sigma_{k=0}^{m}a_kv_{0,k}\in V'$, $a_k\neq 0$ for all $0\leqslant k\leqslant m$. By (1.2), we have

$$\rho\cdot v:=L_{i,0}L_{-i,0}\cdot v=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(a-(b-1-k)i)(a+(b-k-2)i)a_kv_{0,k}. \tag{3.19}$$

Since we can choose suitable $i,k\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(a-(b-1-k)i)(a+(b-k-2)i)a_k\neq 0,$$

then if we apply $\rho^0=id,\rho,\rho^2,\cdots,\rho^m$ to v respectively, we obtain $m+1$ equations concerning $v_{0,k}\,(0\leq k\leq m)$ correspondingly. Denote the matrix of the coefficients of $v_{0,k}\,(0\leq k\leq m)$

by M and let $\Delta' = \det M$. By linear algebra, it is easy to see that $\Delta' \neq 0$. Since by our assumption, V' is a submodule of $A_{a,b}$, we know that $\rho^n v \in V'$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$, then it follows that $v_{0,k} \in V'$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m$. Therefore by index shifting, we can suppose $v_{0,0} \in V'$. Our aim is to find out under what condition we have $V' = A_{a,b}$.

At first, we consider the case $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By (1.2), we know that

$$v_{i,j} \in V' \text{ for } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } (j+1)a + (b-1)i \neq 0. \tag{3.20}$$

So in this case we only need to consider whether $v_{i,j} \in V'$ for $(j+1)a + (b-1)i = 0$. Since $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, by (3.20), we get that $v_{0,1} \in V'$. Applying $L_{i,j-1}$ to $v_{0,1}$, using (1.2), we obtain that

$$L_{i,j-1}v_{0,1} = (ja + (b-2)i)v_{i,j} = -(a+i)v_{i,j}, \tag{3.21}$$

for $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(j+1)a + (b-1)i = 0$. Since $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $a + i \neq 0$, we have that $v_{i,j} \in V'$ for $(j+1)a + (b-1)i = 0$. This together with (3.20) shows that $V' = A_{a,b}$. Therefore, if $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $A_{a,b}$ is irreducible.

Now we consider the case $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By (1.2), we have $v_{i,j} \in V'$ for $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \neq 0$. Applying $L_{-i,0}$ to $v_{i,j}$, using (1.2), we obtain that

$$L_{-i,0}v_{i,j} = (a + i - (b-j-2)i)v_{0,j} \text{ for } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i \neq 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Since $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $v_{0,j} \in V'$. Thus we have $V' = A_{a,b}$. Therefore, if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $A_{a,b}$ is irreducible. Obviously, there is no deformation when $A_{a,b}$ is irreducible.

Finally we consider the case $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (1.2), we have

$$L_{i,j}v_{-a,b-1} = 0 \text{ for any } i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a,b \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.23}$$

Set $V_1 = \mathrm{span}\{v_{-a,b-1}\}$, $V_2 = \mathrm{span}\{v_{-a,b-2}\}$, $V_3 = \mathrm{span}\{v_{k,l} \,|\, (k,l) \neq (-a,b-1), (-a,b-2)\}$. By (1.2), we know that $A'_{a,b} := V_1$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathcal{L}-$submodule of $A_{a,b}$. Since $A_{a,b}$ is indecomposable, then by (1.2), we obtain that $A_{a,b}$ has three composition factors, the first is the 1-dimensional submodule $A'_{a,b}$, the second is the quotient module $A''_{a,b} := V_3/V_1$ and the third is the quotient module $A'''_{a,b} := V_2/V_3$. Therefore (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1(2) follows.

Obviously, when $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $A_{a,b} \cong A_{0,0}$ by index shifting. Since $A_{0,0}$ is reducible, then it might have deformations. In order to find out all deformations, we need to find out

all possible different actions. For $i,j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{i,j}v_{k,l}=((j+1)k-(l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l}\quad \text{for } (k,l),(i+k,j+l)\neq(0,-1),(0,-2),\\
&L_{i,j}v_{0,-1}=a_{i,j}v_{i,j-1}\quad \text{for some } a_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F},\\
&L_{i,j}v_{0,-2}=b_{i,j}v_{i,j-2}\quad \text{for some } b_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F},\\
&L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-1}=c_{i,j}v_{0,-1}\quad \text{for some } c_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F},\\
&L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-2}=d_{i,j}v_{0,-2}\quad \text{for some } d_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

For convenience, in the following, we also denote $V_1=\mathrm{span}\{v_{0,-1}\}$, $V_2=\mathrm{span}\{v_{0,-2}\}$ and $V_3=\mathrm{span}\{v_{k,l}\,|\,(k,l)\neq(0,-1),(0,-2)\}$.

Now we only need to consider the following cases.

Case 1: $v_{0,-2}=0$ and V_1 is a submodule of V.

In this case, for $i,j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can suppose

$$L_{i,j}v_{k,l}=((j+1)k-(l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l}\ \text{ for } (k,l),(i+k,j+l)\neq(0,-1),\tag{3.25}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{0,-1}=0\ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0),\tag{3.26}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-1}=c_{i,j}v_{0,-1}\ \text{ for some } c_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F}.\tag{3.27}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{i,j}L_{1,0}v_{-i-1,-j-1}=(j-i-1)c_{i,j}v_{0,-1}\ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0),\\
&L_{i,j}L_{0,1}v_{-i,-2-j}=-2ic_{i,j}v_{0,-1}\ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0),\\
&L_{i,j}L_{-i,0}v_{0,-j-1}=-ijc_{i,j}v_{0,-1}\ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Applying $L_{i,j}L_{1,0}=(j+1-i)L_{i+1,j}+L_{1,0}L_{i,j}$, $L_{i,j}L_{0,1}=-2iL_{i,j+1}+L_{0,1}L_{i,j}$ and $L_{i,j}L_{-i,0}=-i(j+2)L_{0,j}+L_{-i,0}L_{i,j}$ to $v_{-i-1,-j-1}$, $v_{-i,-j-2}$ and $v_{0,-j-1}$ respectively, comparing the coefficients of $v_{0,-1}$, using (3.25), (3.27), (3.26) and (3.28), we can deduce the following formulas:

$$(j+1-i)c_{i+1,j}-(i+j+1)c_{1,0}=(j-i-1)c_{i,j}\ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0),\tag{3.29}$$

$$c_{0,0}=0,\quad c_{i,j}=c_{i,0}\ \text{ for } i,j\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i\neq 0,\tag{3.30}$$

$$(j+2)c_{0,j}-jc_{-i,0}=jc_{i,j}\ \text{ for } i,j\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i\neq 0.\tag{3.31}$$

Setting $i=0$ and $j\neq 0$ in (3.29), we have

$$(j+1)c_{1,j}-(j+1)c_{1,0}=(j-1)c_{0,j}.\tag{3.32}$$

Using (3.30), we know that $c_{1,j}=c_{1,0}$ for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then (3.32) becomes $(j-1)c_{0,j}=0$ for $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $j\neq 0$. Therefore, by (3.30), we can get

$$c_{0,j}=0\ \text{ for } j\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j\neq 1.\tag{3.33}$$

Taking $j = 2$ in (3.31), using (3.30) and (3.33), we can deduce that

$$c_{-i,0} = -c_{i,0} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.34}$$

Setting $j = 1$ in (3.31), we have $3c_{0,1} - c_{-i,0} = c_{i,0}$. By (3.34), it follows that $c_{0,1} = 0$. This together with (3.33) show that $c_{0,j} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (3.30) becomes

$$c_{i,j} = c_{i,0} \text{ for any } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.35}$$

And (3.29) becomes

$$(j+1-i)c_{i+1,0} - (i+j+1)c_{1,0} = (j-i-1)c_{i,0} \text{ for any } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.36}$$

Setting $j = i - 1$ in (3.36), it gives that $c_{i,0} = ic_{1,0}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This together with (3.35) shows that $c_{i,j} = ic_{1,0}$. If $c_{1,0} \neq 0$, by rescaling $v_{0,0}$, we can suppose $c_{1,0} = -1$. Therefore in this case, we obtain that $c_{i,j} = -i$.

Case 2: $v_{0,-1} = 0$ and V_2 is a submodule of V.

In this case, for $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can suppose

$$L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} \text{ for } (k,l), (i+k, j+l) \neq (0,-2), \tag{3.37}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{0,-2} = 0 \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (0,0), \tag{3.38}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-2} = d_{i,j}v_{0,-2} \text{ for some } d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{3.39}$$

Using the same methods developed in Case 1, we can deduce that $d_{i,j} = i$.

Case 3: $v_{0,-2} = 0$ and V_3 is a submodule of V.

For $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, in this case we can suppose

$$L_{i,j}v_{k,l} = ((j+1)k - (l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} \text{ for } (k,l), (i+k, j+l) \neq (0,-1), \tag{3.40}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = a_{i,j}v_{i,j-1} \text{ for some } a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-1} = 0 \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (0,0). \tag{3.42}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{1,0}L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = (i-j)a_{i,j}v_{i+1,j-1} \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (0,0), (-1,0), \\ &L_{-1,0}L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = (i+j)a_{i,j}v_{i-1,j-1} \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (0,0), (1,0), \\ &L_{0,1}L_{i,j}v_{0,-1} = 2ia_{i,j}v_{i,j} \text{ for } (i,j) \neq (0,0), (0,-1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

Applying $L_{i,j}L_{1,0} = (j+1-i)L_{i+1,j} + L_{1,0}L_{i,j}$, $L_{i,j}L_{0,1} = -2iL_{i,j+1} + L_{0,1}L_{i,j}$ and $L_{i,j}L_{-1,0} = -(i+j+1)L_{i-1,j} + L_{-1,0}L_{i,j}$ to $v_{0,-1}$, comparing the coefficients of $v_{i+1,j-1}$, $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i-1,j-1}$

respectively, using (3.50), (3.51), (3.52) and (3.43), we can deduce the following formulas:

$$(j-i+1)a_{i+1,j}-(j-i)a_{i,j}=(j+1)a_{1,0} \ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(-1,0), \tag{3.44}$$

$$a_{0,0}=0, \quad a_{i,j}=a_{i,0}+\frac{j}{2}a_{0,1} \ \text{ for } i,j\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i\neq 0, \tag{3.45}$$

$$(i+j)a_{i,j}-(i+j+1)a_{i-1,j}=-(j+1)a_{-1,0} \ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(1,0). \tag{3.46}$$

Replacing i by $i-1$ in (3.44), we can get $(j-i+2)a_{i,j}-(j-i+1)a_{i-1,j}=(j+1)a_{1,0}$ for $(i,j)\neq(-2,0)$. From this equation and (3.45), (3.46), we obtain that

$$(j+1)(2a_{i,j}+(i-j-1)a_{-1,0}-(i+j+1)a_{1,0})=0 \ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(-2,0). \tag{3.47}$$

Setting $(i,j)=(0,0)$ in (3.47), by (3.45), we have $a_{-1,0}=-a_{1,0}$. Then (3.47) becomes

$$(j+1)(2a_{i,j}-2ia_{1,0})=0 \ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(-2,0). \tag{3.48}$$

Letting $(i,j)=(0,1)$ in (3.48), it gives that $a_{0,1}=0$. Then (3.45) becomes

$$a_{i,j}=a_{i,0} \ \text{ for } i\neq 0. \tag{3.49}$$

The above formula together with (3.48) shows that $a_{i,j}=ia_{1,0}$ for all $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, as before, in this case we can obtain that $a_{i,j}=i$.

Case 4: $v_{0,-1}=0$ and V_3 is a submodule of V.

For $i,j,k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$, in this case we can suppose

$$L_{i,j}v_{k,l}=((j+1)k-(l+1)i)v_{i+k,j+l} \ \text{ for } (k,l),(i+k,j+l)\neq(0,-2), \tag{3.50}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{0,-2}=b_{i,j}v_{i,j-2} \ \text{ for some } b_{i,j}\in\mathbb{F}, \tag{3.51}$$

$$L_{i,j}v_{-i,-j-2}=0 \ \text{ for } (i,j)\neq(0,0). \tag{3.52}$$

Using the same methods as in Case 3, we can deduce that $b_{i,j}=i$.

Case 5: $v_{0,-1}\neq 0$, $v_{0,-2}\neq 0$ and V_1, V_2 are submodules of V.

From the discussions in Case 1 and Case 2, in this case we can obtain a deformation of the adjoint module $A_{0,0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, denoted by A, and so (1.3) in Theorem 1.1 holds (it is straightforward to verify that A is a module).

Case 6: $v_{0,-1}\neq 0$, $v_{0,-2}\neq 0$ and V_3 is a submodule of V.

From the discussions in Case 3 and Case 4, in this case we can obtain a deformation of the adjoint module $A_{0,0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, denoted by B, and so (1.4) in Theorem 1.1 holds.

Case 7: $v_{0,-1}\neq 0$, $v_{0,-2}\neq 0$ and V_2 is a submodule of V.

From the discussions in Case 2 and Case 3, as before, in this case we can obtain a deformation of the adjoint module $A_{0,0}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, denoted by C, and so (1.5) in Theorem 1.1 holds.

From (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), it is easy to see that (iii), (iv) and (v) of Theorem 1.1(2) hold. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Dr. Rencai Lu for pointing out some errors in the first version.

References

[DZ] D. Dokovic, K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms and cohomology of generalized Block algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **3** (1996), 245–272.

[LT] W. Lin, S. Tan, Nonzero level Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro-like algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **204** (2006), 90–105.

[S1] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a Lie algebra of Block type, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 117–128.

[S2] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a family of Lie algebras of Block type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **192** (2004), 293–305.

[S3] Y. Su, Simple modules over the high rank Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), 2067–2080.

[S4] Y. Su, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the higher rank Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **240** (2003), 539–551.

[WZ] X. Wang, K. Zhao, Verma modules over the Virasoro-like algebra, *J. Australia Math.* **80** (2006), 179–191.

[WS] Y. Wu, Y. Su, Highest weight representations of a Lie algebra of Block type, to appear in *Science in China A* (math.QA/0511733).

[X1] X. Xu, Generalizations of Block algebras, *Manuscripta Math.* **100** (1999), 489–518.

[X2] X. Xu, Quadratic conformal superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 1–38.

[ZZ] H. Zhang, K. Zhao, Representations of the Virasoro-like Lie algebra and its q-analog, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 4361–4372.

[Z] K. Zhao, Weight modules over generalized Witt algebras with 1-dimensional weight spaces, *Forum Math.* **16** (2004), 725–748.

[ZM] L. Zhu, D. Meng, Structure of degenerate Block algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **10** (2003), 53–62.